Marxist Democrats and the PC Right - None Dare Call it Evil

Woe to the one who calls evil good and good evil (Isaiah 5:20)

When a group embraces ideologies and practices consistent with a worldview that has proven to be destructive and evil everywhere it has been practiced, we are exhorted to call it out.

Dick Pence – Coordinator, Big Sky Worldview Forum June 2018

Contents:

- President Reagan calls it evil the Evil Empire Speech. P. 2
- Isaiah's Clarion Call to Call-Out Evil. P. 3
- Why call it Marxism worldviews or Name Calling? P. 4
- Marxism their plan for America. P. 6
- Marxist agendas and Democratic orthopraxy Comparisons. P.7
- The 45 Goals of the American Marxist and their progress. P.13
- Historical issues of the Democratic Party its wicked roots. P. 14
- Barack Obama Radical-in-Chief: When change came to America. P.18
- From Cloward–Piven to ACORN breaking Capitalism's bank. P.21
- Isaiah's "Woe" and Christian-Conservative woes where does the real guilt belong? P.
 21
- Consumers, customers, or Christian what has the church become? P. 22
- Conclusions Marxist orthodoxy and Democratic orthopraxy. P.24
- End Notes. P. 24
- Recommended resources. P. 27

Preface: History teaches us that one of the reasons civilizations fall is the failure of leadership to **discern and identify evil** in its infancy. I will demonstrate that Marxism as a worldview is evil and that the Democratic Party's ethos has evolved to where it is essentially Cultural Marxism in its ideology and practice. Socialism is the imprecise (safe) term usually used for those with this view – I will identify these by the worldview they follow. We will look at comparisons.

Conservativism (religious and political) is currently dominated by the mindset that you dare not call this evil. They fail to **process the evidence and resist the rhetoric** that calls this labeling hate when in fact it is charity. We will also take a close look at how this party has devastated the Black community for over 150 years – the evidence is overwhelming.

Paul admonishes us to hate or abhor evil (Rom. 12:9). In our present irresponsible posture, we are ignoring both Isaiah and Paul's warnings. We need the courage to call this what it is. To be effective, conservatives will have to be much better informed. We stand at a crossroad – will we capitulate to Cultural Marxism or will we have the courage and wisdom to make the case against it?

The Statesman, Ronald Reagan, was not intimidated – his Evil Empire Speech:

In 1983, before the National Association of Evangelicals in Orlando, Florida President <u>Regan called the Soviet Union an EVIL empire</u>. The Leftist media and much of the PC Right condemned him for it. If you want to understand my context, I encourage you to listen to this four minute YouTube. He talked about a man who said...

"I would rather see my daughters die now still believing in God rather than grow up under Communism, not believing in God."

These remarks evoked thunderous applause then, now Reagan's speech would result in many Pastors leaving the assembly. **Understand well – Reagan's attack was against Marxist-Communism...**

"They preach the supremacy of the State and declare it's omnipotence over man and predicts its eventual domination of all peoples on the earth, <u>they</u> <u>are</u> the focus of evil in the modern world." 1.) See also Regan's quote here of C.S. Lewis' Screwtape Letters

Fast forward 35 years - most Americans have no fear of Marxism and little perception of what ideas it promotes. And, if they do understand it, they lack Reagan's backbone to publically call it evil. In light of the fact that 45% of Millennials now <u>favor Marxism</u> over Free Market Capitalism, this is relevant. Surveys demonstrate that Millennials have <u>no concept</u> of what it is but, they have embraced it emotionally. They believe this because we have not taught worldview concepts in the church and we have not taught them because most of us don't know them.

President Trump may not be a believer, I don't know, but thank God we have a President again with the backbone to call out evil when he sees it.

Our children are destined to inherit a country dominated by this worldview.

Their indoctrination into Marxism is being promoted by the public school systems, university professors that are overwhelmingly Marxist in their numbers, the media including Lee Enterprises and the <u>Billings Gazette</u>, and yes, the core of the Democratic Party. It is no wonder that 70% of our evangelical kids leave the faith after their first year in college. They are inundated with Marxist ideology and they have no preparation for dealing with that assault. Is our attitude like the man above – concerned that our kids will lose faith in God?

If you take one minute and scan just <u>one listing of syllabuses</u> on liberal courses studies from a smaller university, it will shock you into the reality of where we are at in America.

The Prophet Isaiah's Clarion call to call-out Evil:

In an interesting parallel to our situation today, the prophet Isaiah foresaw the coming captivity of Judah. The Jews spent a long season in captivity because they were tolerating evil. Isaiah speaks to them and it's worth a closer look at exactly what he says.

"Woe to those who call [literally "to call it so"] *evil good and good evil. Who substitute darkness for light and light for darkness"* **2.)** See the Biblical definition the word "woe."

Notice the word "calls" here – literally "to call it so or to call out." This is not written to the people doing evil, it is written as a warning to those tolerating it – who would not "call it so." Isaiah knew what history tells us - when people give up a godly culture for a secular culture, **they sell future generations into bondage**. When Christian cultures fell to Islamic cultures, it was often centuries before they were recovered. This is where America is today and our grandchildren are harvesting the fruit of our so called tolerance.

Reagan did not mince words talking about Marxist-Communism – he called it evil and took heat for it. He called Marxism evil and it was not popular but his courage resulted in a significant push back, it stifled the Soviet Union for a season. **But the measuring stick** here is not Reagan's courage, the measuring stick is the **Word of God.** So my question is, where are the statesmen that will do likewise? I will demonstrate that the Democratic Party identified themselves with the Marxist worldview in almost every way. Do we have the courage to label it so – making the case with grace and truth?

Why call it Marxism – worldviews or name calling?

It is the purpose of the Big Sky Worldview Forum to differentiate worldviews. <u>There are six</u> that are "evangelistic" – Christianity, Islam, New Age (New Spirituality), Marxism, Postmodernism, and Secular Humanism. Their doctrines are not mutually exclusive – Marxism embraces ideas held by Humanists and Postmodernists. When we identify a group as fitting into one of these six, it is not bigotry, hate, or racism – **it is simply recognizing the worldview into which their beliefs fall.** People have every right to embrace any of these. The issue is that except in academia where they can get away with it – **those who embrace Marxism are coached to deny** or distort what they believe. They know America is not ready for openly Marxist governing. Therefore, I respectfully disagree with those who chant *"You can't call the Democrats Marxists, people will reject it."* Our job is "Equipping Cultural Influencers," - that is our motto and we are happy to stand with the word of God.

The National Democratic Party recently moved to insist that <u>their candidates</u> <u>identify as Democrats</u>. But as we have seen illustrated in Montana and <u>elsewhere</u>, (listen – DSA member in New York) this does not mean they won't be Marxists. In fact, DNC Chair Tom Perez <u>called Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez</u> "**The future of our party.**" This DSA member recently defeated and in incumbent Democrat in a primary in New York. If you want a sobering read, read about her LINK to the <u>Justice Democrats</u> or their <u>Platform</u>. Two <u>Democrats running for the</u> <u>Montana Legislators</u> also identify as *Democratic Socialists of America*. Trevor Loudon is one of the most knowledgeable authorities on Marxism on the planet. In his 600 page book *Barack Obama and the Enemies Within*, he refers to the DSA's as Marxist 83 times. <u>Trevor marks this group</u> as Marxists stating, "While claiming to be socialist, Democratic Socialists of America is a Marxist organization, that draws heavily from the ideas of the late Italian Communist Party theoretician <u>Antonio Gramsci</u>." To be clear, the term *Communism* is often used of these Marxists in rather a populist way, but this is actually a misnomer. Communism is the *theoretic* utopian end goal of the Marxist worldview – a utopia that has not been realized by any group or country that has embraced this evil idea. So, we chose to use the worldview term Marxism.

Is Marxism evil? The reality is that everywhere Marxism has been tried it has resulted in death, theft of property, loss of freedoms, bondage, and economic depravity. The former U.S.S.R. (Russia) now has an economy smaller than that of California. <u>Venezuela</u> – once a robust economy - is bankrupt. North Korea is starving its people as Russia did to build its Marxist army. In the 20th Century alone, governments ruled by Marxism murdered 150 million people. 3).

But **STOP**, **think!** These are not just statistics – these were husbands, a mother's child, children's fathers - lost people. The **ideology of the Democratic Party is essentially the same as Marx, Lennon, Hitler and Mussolini and it is leading to the same outcome.** Overly melodramatic you say? We will investigate the roots and ideologies of these two groups and you can decide for yourself. Every one of these movements started out small, were highly organized, and participants were highly committed. They were not bashful about using force and intimidation to establish their base. Meanwhile, the majorities failed to discern and identify where they were headed – until it was too late. Curtis Bowers in his documentary *Agenda, Grinding America Down* established the fact that there is little difference between Marxism, Fascism or the practices of the Nazis. **4.**)

What would make us think outcomes will be different in America if we follow the Democratic Party? Vladimir Lenin boldly stated the guiding principle of developing Socialist-Marxism when he famously stated...

"What does it matter if three quarters of the world perish providing the remaining quarter is Communist?" **5**)

We are now seeing that these Marxists-Democrats will do anything to achieve their goals. Unless you have been living in a cave, you have seen these radical movements, demonstrations, and bullying that we will discuss more under *Dialectic Philosophies*. And, if you get in their way, they will destroy you labeling you as a racist or hater. The boldness you are seeing today is reminiscent of the

radical activism that was seen in Germany and other places after they felt like they had achieved critical mass.

In this article, I will make the case that the *core* of the Democratic Party now falls into the Marxist worldview. My purpose is not so much to "call them out" - my arguments are not likely to persuade Democrats. My objective is to "call us out" - conservatives who are uninformed, have tolerated political correctness, are unwilling to call this group evil, and are deserving of Isaiah's condemnation.

Marxism – their plan for America

In his documentary, Curtis Bowers makes some observations from a 1992 *Communist Party USA* convention he attended at Berkley. **6.**) These are the ideas he heard discussed and observations he made at that convention.

"Their foundational objective was to infiltrate the institutions of America. To destroy our families, they planned to promote cohabitation, get the children out of the home and into government programs at the earliest age possible. They identified with the feminist movement because they had been very successful in making women discontent with marriage and motherhood. They wanted to destroy business, they would get behind the environmental movement realizing it was the only schema big enough to clog the system with red tape and discourage business growth. **To destroy** *our culture of religion and morality, they believed if they could get America to accept homosexuality* it would do more to extinguish our moral values than anything else they could foresee." (You will see these same ideas also outlined in their 45 goals below.)

Betty Friedan (mother of feminism) posed as just a frustrated housewife. Later in the 1990's, it was revealed that she was a radical member of the *Communist Party USA*. The beginning of promotion of homosexuality in America was the Mattachine Society founded by Henry Hay. Henry also was a member of the *Communist Party USA* working to undermine our cultural norms. The ACLU was founded by Roger Baldwin whose goal was Communism. The ACLU wanted to destroy from within the America the founders intended. Baldwin's roots were anti-Christian and socialistic. 7) Any question in your mind about the party these associated with?

Bowers asks "Is communism dead?" There are more Communists today than ever and more countries' governments are controlled by Marxism than ever. David Noebel adds South Africa, South and Central America, Venezuela, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, and others to the list we already know of. I would also argue for Mexico. How are these countries doing? Several of these are the **countries where most of the illegal immigrants are coming from in this very hour** largely because their societies are bankrupt?

Marxist agendas and Democratic orthodoxy - comparisons:

Let's look at some of the **central ideas of Marxism** and compare them to what we see being promoted by Democrats. Elaboration of some of these doctrines is beyond the scope of this article. I will attempt to introduce enough to make my points and facilitate your further research: (**The Marxist agendas are in blue, Democratic agendas in black**)

Economic Determinism: Though less understood by the public, this is their pivotal doctrine and is considered Marx's greatest contribution. It teaches that the entire personality including thoughts, emotions, religious experience, family attitudes etc. are derived from the prevailing *model of economic production*. We are considered captive creations of the Capitalistic System – it controls all that we are. The Communist Manifesto makes it plain that the traditional family is also a product of Capitalism including the parent-child relationships. Escaping from Capitalism requires a radical deprograming of purification. *Materialism* is imbedded in this philosophy (see below). **8.**)

Familiarity with this doctrine is an important step in understanding the agenda of the core of the Democratic Party. Most Democrats would not be explicitly familiar with *Economic Determinism* but their comprehensive resistance to Free Market ideas demonstrates that they have been programmed to resist Capitalism. Like Marxists, Democrats increasingly look to the *State* and *centralized planning* to control America's economics. Most Americans are ignorant of what is really happening in our state and national legislatures and are unaware of this agenda. A trip to Helena while the legislature is in session would allow you to hear Democrats following this model.

Let's look at some examples of this nationally. In 1913, Democratic President Woodrow Wilson (<u>our first Socialist President</u>) disliked our Constitution and ushered in the concept of a "living document" constitution. He helped establish the Federal Reserve. This began a dramatic shift to State control of the nation's economics. The Federal Reserve System manipulates interest rates and currency availability. It is not owned or controlled by the any of the three branches of government.

The celebrated economist of all this deception was **John Maynard Keynes** who openly promoted *Interventionist Economics* – another huge step toward State centralized control. FDR used this system to attempt to end the depression, but Austrian economists argue that <u>he prolonged it</u> and deepened it with Keynesian ideas. As a <u>socialist himself</u>, Roosevelt was sympathetic to this <u>Centralized</u> <u>economic thinking</u>. While many Republicans also drank this Kool-Aid, it was the Democratic linage of Woodrow Wilson, Franklin Roosevelt, and Lyndon Johnson that really pushed this agenda forward to what it is today helping to expand government.

It's worth stopping a moment to examine who John Maynard Keynes was. You can read all about him in the downloadable book <u>Keynes at Harvard – Economic</u> <u>Deception as a Political Credo.</u> If you do, you will discover **one of the most perverse individuals who has ever walked this planet**. Leaders failed to realize that a man in deep moral deception is not a likely candidate to discover godly *principles*. John followed in his Father's footsteps as a Fabian Socialist who despised Capitalism. He was a devout atheist who denigrated traditional moral virtues and the church. Originally from England, he was successful in selling interventionist economics to most of the leading economists and politicians. He was a hard core Marxist selling a twisted socialistic economic plan. Only Milton Freedman and a few who studied <u>Austrian economics</u> saw through him. Read on and you will discover – not only an unbridled homosexual – but one who traveled to orgies all over the world to fulfill his lusts. Yet at his death he was eulogized worldwide. **To this fount and foundation we have entrusted most of what is now economics in American government**.

Marxist economics focuses on centralized control of the means of production by the State. Property and businesses are owned by the State with the goal of so-called equality. The Democratic Party has increasingly pushed for government

control of everything from schools and banking to health care and retirement planning – i.e., FDR's Social Security Ponzi scheme.

"Social Justice" is a central doctrine of the Democratic Party but what does it mean - you seldom see it defined. In reality, it means equality for all – financial, wealth, property, jobs, etc. This can only happen if someone controls all the cookies. The term is a reference to the ideology of Marxism – it requires wealth redistribution and is a spin-off of the idea <u>"From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs".</u>

Democratic activists have created indoctrination programs that have been implemented in the public school system, universities, the media, and even in much of the so-called Christian church. We see this in spades in <u>Neo</u> <u>Evangelicalism</u>. Much more could be said about evangelical groups that are <u>Progressive</u>. **9**) See key response.

The Dialectic Philosophy (properly known as Dialectic-Materialism) is the heart and soul of Marxism. While there are many definitions, you can find one here. For our purposes, it is the idea that underlies the "class-struggle." Change promotes progress. This happens by a "dialectic" process of contradictory interactions between the "Thesis" (what is now) and the "Antithesis" (the new progressive idea) and the result is the "Syntheses" – the better is achieved -Progress. The theory actually involves forward advance followed by an abrupt turn and retreat then another turn and advance. It is looked at as a two steps forward and one step back process. The process is ever repeated and ongoing as the Syntheses essentially now becomes the new Thesis. This complicated process (even the founders of this junk – Hegel and Marx - admitted they did not understand it) is supposedly tied to the struggle between the Proletariat (common workers) and the Bourgeoisie (those who owned the means of production.) Marxism strives for the overthrow of the later so that the means of production (property, factories, political power, etc.) is collective or in today's vernacular, controlled by the State.

From this philosophy comes **the central doctrine of the Democratic Party – class warfare.** This is *what and who* Saul Alinsky and Barack Obama were. This is what community organizing is all about. This is what Black Lives Matter, Occupy Wall Street, today's immigration and open borders insanity, the rhetoric about guns with the school shootings etc. is all about. If you take a step back so you can see the forest and not just the trees, you will see this is - in essence - their policy and rhetoric to push and promote class-warfare. This is what the demonizing of wealthy leaders like Congressman Greg Gianforte is all about. They are now using the same tactic against Matt Rosendale, candidate for US Senate. Like the Marxists, they are content to make small, incremental steps forward. **Class envy is their power tool of class warfare** – it is very effective and easily sold. The redistribution of wealth and welfare programs pushed by this party are in parallel with the Marxist dialectic philosophy. Also, over time, you will see them take those "abrupt turns" where for a season, they seem to retreat. Remember how they were against same-sex marriage before they were for it? Remember how Bill Clinton finally signed welfare reform and took all the credit (after vetoing it twice) but later Obama took it away?

<u>Unrivaled Atheism</u> – public, orthodox Christianity has been resisted or extinguished in every Marxist country. With the exception of carefully controlled "show churches," most of Christianity happens underground. As Marxists reject God they join hands with Postmodernists and reject absolute truth. Truth becomes whatever moves their agenda forward, it is not connected to reality, but is only functional. Codes of ethics are discretionary, and subject to change. Ethics or morality will change as the cultures they impact degrade. **10**)

The Democratic Party has slowly been overtaken – "critical mass" has been achieved - by atheists. This has taken the better part of a century, but has escalated as they have met less resistance in the last few decades from conservatives and Christians. Informed, objective people realize that on a daily basis - for most Democratic leaders - **their truth does not match reality. If you find yourself wanting to throw things at the TV** when they are talking on the news, you need to realize that Postmodernism recognizes no set of absolutes you have your truth, I have mine. The voices of those Democrats not embracing atheism have been silenced.

The ethic of traditional marriage was embraced until they prepared their base to accept and celebrate homosexuality and same-sex marriage - then the ethic was changed. Abortion was to be limited – until their base was sufficiently Progressive to tolerate making it a central doctrine. A large part of this progression was the

undermining of Biblical truth and discrediting Christianity. At their last national convention, the idea of God in their platform was sneered at and killed.

We heard Obama claim to be a Christian but – again – we have to **define the language.** What was the central doctrine of Reverend Wright's church where the Obamas attended for twenty years? A little research reveals that the base of his beliefs was not Orthodox Christianity but – as the *Acton Institute* documents -<u>Black Liberation Theology</u>. This is adapted Marxism masquerading as Christianity. <u>Liberation theology</u> (as defined by <u>Got Questions</u>) - teaches that

"All church doctrine should grow out of the perspective of the poor. **Defending the rights of the poor is seen as the central aspect of the gospel**... Critics of liberation theology associate it with Marxism and see it as a religious form of failed socialist policies."

Obama's church is one among many liberal churches that embraced Liberation Theology. This theology is consistent with Obama's worldview as we will see shortly. As you can see in <u>Liberty University's *The Kobod*</u> 2015, this idea was popularized in South America in the 60's and is now widely held (though thinly veiled) in many main line denominational churches. Their cries for wealth redistribution, extreme feminism, gun control, LGBT rights etc., and what they label as racism are all part of the "social justice" mantra. **This doctrine of "social justice" – salvation by liberating the victims** - has replaced the orthodox gospel of salvation by forgiveness of sin and redemption by grace through Jesus Christ. Liberation Theology can accurately be described as "Marxism Light."

To be fair, Obama is not alone - both Clintons were fascinated with Marxism. <u>Hillary's hero was Saul Alinsky</u> - she wrote her senior thesis in admiration of him. In 1993, the president of Wellesley College (Hillary's alma mater) approved a new rule upon being contacted by Bill Clinton's White House. The rule stated that all senior theses written by a president or first lady of the United States would be kept under lock and key. The rule was meant to keep the public ignorant about the radical ties of the first lady, Hillary Rodham Clinton, to the radical Marxist organizer, Saul Alinsky. It is <u>now available</u> but not easily read. Hillary's version of the Methodist church she claims to attend, is much different than your dear Grandmother's. <u>Materialism</u> – is the philosophy that man is matter in motion and nothing more. Thought is a quality of matter, the brain secretes thought as the liver secretes bile. This confusing philosophy is a central doctrine of Marxism and is dominant in our university system. It denies the existence of a creator or creation.

Democratic philosophy is in line with this godless thinking. That is why you see them (with few exceptions) champion evolution and mock any thought of creation or intelligent design. Listen to any Democratic pundit bash Congressman Gianforte for <u>believing in creationism</u> or listen to the <u>Billings Gazette</u> join that chorus on almost any day. You must understand that for Marx, Darwin's theory of evolution gave scientific credence to his economic system. Without evolution, all of the socialist foundations crumble – it is the cornerstone of their worldview. Creationism would introduce into the current Democratic Party a virus that would disable it.

<u>**Centralized Control**</u> by the State – all decisions and direction are made by powerful groups with little outside input.

As stated earlier, Democrats continue to push for larger governments on all fronts – control of more Federal and State lands, government health control, government schools, banks controlled by the government etc. They are always pushing for higher taxes and increased regulation - increased State control

<u>State Ownership of Property</u> (land, businesses etc.) The **means of production** must be communally owned – the State. In Russia property was first taken from large land owners and given to the people. Later it was taken from the people to be controlled by the State. Ask your Cuban friend how much private property there is in Cuba today. The State owns most of the land, businesses, factories, and power production. Communal ownership means you really own nothing as a person.

In Montana, the State is quietly buying up land. Private property around the Fort Peck reservoir is non-existent. The BLM's stewardship of public land has been much more focused on control and less on cooperating with ranchers.

During the Obama administration there was a move to centralize banks. All through the recent banking crisis, the Obama administration attempted to increase central control more with Fanny Mae and Freddy Mac. Then of course

there is the *Affordable Care Act* as Obama sought to put one-sixth of our economy under Federal control – in the end, hoping to give us single-payer options.

Redistribution of wealth is key: **"From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs"** – in theory when utopian "communism" is realized all people would have everything they need. Meanwhile, the State will do all it can to redistribute wealth.

The Bernie Sander's campaign boldly called for redistribution, but Obama, Hillary, and others were on the same page. It did not start with them, FDR's *New Deal* and the *Great Society* of the Johnson era were all programs aimed at centralized control and wealth redistribution. Our current Progressive tax code - taxing the wealthy at higher rates - comes from the same roots as does the death tax.

Note however that Marxism always leaves room for a wealthy, untouched oligarchy. From the Clintons, Obamas, and Pelosi to Bill Gates, Warren Buffet, and the Mark Zuckerberg's of this world – all these Democratic socialists are secure in the fact they will never have to lower themselves to the economic status of the common man.

The 45 Goals of the American Marxist and their progress:

In 1958, Cleon Skousen published the *Naked Communist*. Cleon had a background with the FBI. In one of the chapters, he lists what he considered to be the <u>45</u> goals of the Communist Party. These were goals of the *Communist Party USA* and others. In January 1963, these goals were read into the Congressional Record with unanimous consent. In the endnotes **11**), I will include a number of these goals with comments. Here, I will list just a few leaving the comparisons for you to make.

15. Capture one or both of the political parties in the United States.

16. Use technical decisions of the **courts to weaken basic American institutions by claiming their activities violate civil rights**.

17. **Get control of the schools**. Use them as transmission belts for socialism and current Communist propaganda. Soften the curriculum. Get control of teachers' associations. Put the party line in textbooks.

19. Use student riots to foment public protests against programs or organizations which are under Communist attack.

20. Infiltrate the press.

26. Present homosexuality, degeneracy and promiscuity as normal.

40. Discredit the family as an institution. Encourage promiscuity and easy divorce.

41. Emphasize the need to raise children away from the negative influence of parents. Attribute prejudices, mental blocks and retarding of children to suppressive influence of parents.

Historical Issues and the Democratic Party – its wicked roots:

(This web site *Discover the Networks* is a treasure trove of historical facts about the Democratic Party

http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/groupProfile.asp?grpid=6214

Let's take a look at some of the facts that came out in Dinesh D'Souza's documentary film *Hillary's America – The Secret History of the Democratic Party*.

The Democrats claim to be the party of economic opportunity, social justice and racial equality. That's their pitch so let's take a look.

<u>The American Indians</u>: In 1820, Democrats elected Andrew Jackson. Jackson's tenure marked the **start of the new Democratic Party**. You know him for giving us the Louisiana Purchase, but do you know what this meant for the Native Americans? He broke treaties with the Indians, massacred them by the thousands, took their land, sold that land to win votes, and put them on reservations. This is what he said about the American Indian. (Jackson's Fifth Annual Message to Congress, 1833)

"They have neither the intelligence nor the industry, nor the moral habits, nor the desire for improvement which is essential to the improvement of their condition. **Established in the midst of another and a superior race, they must necessarily yield to the force of circumstances** and before long disappear."

Republicans fought the Democrats *Indian Removal Bill* in the Senate. Senator Davy Crocket called it a wicked and unjust measure. But the Democrats prevailed and put the Indians on reservations stealing their dignity as all welfare programs do. The African American and Slavery: (for this LINK go to Politics, Democrats) You have heard about Washington's slaves, but you don't hear about how Jackson treated his – he had hundreds of them that he treated horribly. The most notorious defender of slavery was John C. Calhoun – Jackson's VP. <u>Seven</u> <u>Democratic Presidents</u> owned slaves. From 1868-1948, there were 20 Democratic Party platforms that either openly supported <u>segregation</u> or were silent on the subject. The infamously racist "Jim Crow laws" of the post-Civil War era - mandating segregation in virtually all public settings throughout the South - were <u>passed</u> enthusiastically by Democrats. Between 1908 and 1920, there were 4 Democratic platforms that were <u>silent</u> on the issues of blacks, segregation, lynching, and voting rights. By contrast, the Republican platforms of those years specifically addressed "Rights of the Negro" (1908), opposed lynching (in 1912, 1920, 1924, 1928), and (with the advent of the New Deal) the dangers of turning blacks into "wards of the state."

But it wasn't just the southerners. Their northern advocate was Illinois senator Steven Douglas – Lincoln's opponent in the election. Lincoln understood slavery to be a form of theft of one's life and potential creativity. **The Republican Party was formed in opposition to slavery** and in fact, <u>no Republican leader owned</u> <u>slaves.</u> The central thrust of the Confederacy was Democrats defending their economic welfare by attempting to keep slavery alive.

<u>They claim to be the party of the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960's</u>, but let's see if their track record is consistent with that claim:

- More Republicans than Democrats voted for the 1964 Civil Rights Act.
- The 13th Amendment permanently <u>abolished slavery</u>, 100% of Republicans voted for it, 77% of Democrats voted against it.
- Democrats fought the 14th Amendment giving <u>citizenship</u> to Blacks and establishing their equality under the law. 94% of Republicans supported it, every Democrat voted against it.
- The 15th Amendment gave Blacks the <u>right to vote</u>. Every Republican voted for it, every Democrat voted against it. Of interest is the fact that the same was true of Women's Suffrage the Democrats opposed it.

The Ku Klux Klan – Blacks today think it was a Republican organization?

The Democratic Party was the party of slavery and after slavery was abolished in 1865 they came up with the Ku Klux Klan to resist what the government had done (above) in emancipating Blacks. Republicans formed militias to resist this organization. <u>Nathan Forest</u>, a former Confederate General, was the first Grand Wizard. Dr. Carol Swain tells us that he was also a pledged delegate to the Democratic Convention.

The purpose of the Party was to establish white supremacy. In 1868, the party platform was <u>"This is the white man's country let a white man rule."</u> Blacks were now the majority in several southern states and they were a voting threat. During the Klan's reign of terror they killed over 3,000 blacks and over a 1,000 white Republicans. Throughout the South, when the Democrats passed the black codes, they put in provisions that **blacks could not own guns**, a clear violation of the 2nd Amendment.

Under Democratic President Woodrow Wilson, the Klan had a rebirth starting with the showing of the film <u>The Birth of a Nation</u> in the White House. This three hour film was highly controversial for its portrayal of black men as unintelligent and sexually aggressive towards white women, and the portrayal of the <u>Ku Klux</u> <u>Klan</u> (KKK) as a heroic force. The film's release is also credited as being one of the events that inspired the formation of the "second era" Ku Klux Klan at Stone Mountain, Georgia the same year. It was used as a recruiting tool for the KKK. *The Birth of a Nation* was the first American motion picture to be screened inside the White House, viewed there by President Woodrow Wilson. When shown in cities around America, it sparked a backlash against African Americans.

Wilson instructed his cabinet to reverse and resist integration with Blacks. At the 1924 Democratic Convention tens of thousands of Klansmen descended on New York City to celebrate the **Party's refusal to condemn the Klan in their platform**.

In the Beginning of the *New Deal* negotiations, FDR promised to **block any antilynching legislation** and to **exclude Blacks from most New Deal programs**.

When President Johnson initiated *The Great Society* in the 60's, he said **they would have the Black vote for 200 years.** Today, over 95% of African Americans vote Democrat - convinced that this party has their interests at heart. This program (the Great Society) amplified the welfare programs of the New Deal and further turned the tide of Black voters to the Democrats that was started with this program. Arguably, what the Democrats have done is take the Blacks off of one plantation and put them on a worse one – the poverty ghettoes of the inner cities.

It is significant to note that these and other Democratic legislations also instigated measures to harm the family. Mothers can't receive certain welfare benefits if a Father is in the home. These programs have aided in a radical shift in the Black family. As late as 1950, female-headed households were only 18 percent of the black population. Today over 70 percent of black children are raised in single-parent households. From 1890 to 1940, a slightly higher percentage of black adults had married than white adults. Today about twice as many blacks have never married as whites. Writing for the Federalist, Focus on the Family's Glenn Stanton contends that the single most important imperative in "social justice" is marriage. The key predictor of future poverty is out-of-wedlock births. The Democratically inspired federal welfare programs are the major cause of these shifts.

<u>The Negro Project</u> – Democratic program to reduce Black populations:

One of the key strands of modern Progressivism is eugenics. In 1926 in Silver Lake, New Jersey, speaking under the banner of the Ku Klux Klan, Margret Sanger (founder of Planned Parenthood) began to speak about eugenics. She espoused a system of *"the cultivation of a better racist element in our society by… the elimination of human weeds."* Sanger introduced birth control into the Black communities and hired Black Pastors to push the programs. Fast forward to today, it is no accident that the vast majority of Planned Parenthood facilities are in Black neighborhoods. No one fights harder for Planned Parenthood than Hillary Clinton and the Democratic Party.

What's the Marxist connection to these African American issues?

In a recent article - <u>Leftest Ideas that Keep Black People Down</u> – Shelby Steele and Walter Williams (two Black professors) give details and statistics showing how the Democratic Party has ravaged the Black community. They point to the inconvenient truth that "victimology, separatism, and anti-intellectualism underlie the general black community's response to all race-related issues," - all tools of Marxists thank you Jessy Jackson, Al Sharpton and Lewis Farrakhan. This is just one of their assertions ...

"The anti-Americanism [among Blacks] that started during the 1960s and has become mainstream and visible in the black community is "heartbreaking and sad." That anti-Americanism that so dominates the American black identity has been "ruinous to black America, where we are worse off than we were under segregation by almost every socio-economic measure."

The gateways to this anti-Americanism has been the Marxist enabling in Liberation Theology referenced earlier. This is essentially a Marxist message of "victimhood" coupled with the social justice mantra. Sadly, this has sold largely because of ignorance and the leadership of people like Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton.

Barack Obama – Radical-in-Chief: when change came to America:

"Nothing can threaten the future quite as much as the debts of the past." 12)

The election of Obama could only have happened if two conditions were met. First, a radical, activist, Marxist control of the Democratic Party that would cover up who Obama was. Second a Republican-Conservative party led by weak willed leaders and backed by a population of uninformed voters. The results? Barack Obama – a Marxist with sympathies for Islam into the White House.

Who was this man? The question is still relevant because it speaks volumes about the Democratic Party. Obama was voted in on the mantra of *"hope and change"* – in light of what we have just seen about *Dialectic Philosophy*, this ought to have sounded an alarm. What he promised and what was delivered were very different. If you know their tactics, this switch is vintage Marxism.

All of his associations were far Leftists – many of the same names that were prominent in the Marxist movement from the 60's. The Left was so successful in their organization that they put an unknown in the White House. At first, it was smaller issues like blocking oil drilling in America, but giving billions to the socialists in Brazil and Columbia to drill. Later, he refused to block Iran from getting a nuclear arsenal while slashing ours.

The title of his book *Dreams from <u>My</u> Father* gives us some clues. The views of Barack and his father were one, though they spent little time together. His father never lived with him as his parents were divorced. Barack ended up embracing

the worldview of his mother and his father. His mother remarried after the divorce, but always idolized his father to Barack and never accepted the worldview of Barak's step-father.

His Grandfather sought out a **mentor** for him – **Frank Marshall Davis**. Davis and Barack spent eight years together before he went to college and in his book he refers to "Frank" a number of times never disclosing the last name. Davis obviously had a huge impact on him. Frank was so anti-American that the FBI placed him on a security watch. The press never talked about this relationship because Davis was so pro-Soviet and pro-Communist that for the press to disclose this would have marked Barack. Davis was a card carrying member (Card # 47544) of the *Communist Party USA*. In his book, Obama admits choosing his friends carefully including radical Black leaders, Marxist professors, and structural feminists.

Barack Sr. was a radical **anti-colonialist**. This ideology is a baseline rhetorical tool of Socialists. Both Obama's used this tool frequently. Senior believed that countries like America became wealthy not by invention, or innovation or hard work but by invading, occupying and looting the poor countries of their wealth. His solution was twofold as he wrote in an East African news article, answering his own question –

"What do you do when a country has powerful concentrations of wealth at the top? **First**, you have to **use the power of the State to control and regulate private industry. Second**, you need **very high tax rates**. How high? Theoretically there is nothing that can stop a government from taxing at 100% of income so long at the people get benefits from the government which is taxed."

This is vintage Marxism and it is folly to suppose that Obama Jr.'s thinking was any different. This classic Bernie Sanders-Marxist-Collectivist-Socialist dogma now defines the Democratic Party. This is what Carter, Mondale, Bill, Hillary, Al Gore, and Obama meant when they said *"the wealthy should pay their fair share."*

So how did Obama win? By presenting himself as the agent of change – he was the one who would move America past the guilt of slavery and racism to a new society. Voting for Barack made people feel like they were not racist – not what America used to be. Decades of Democratic rhetoric - in concert with a complicit news media - had convinced many Americans that the Republicans were the racists - we have already squashed that lie. The electorate appeased their consciences and voted for Obama. **It was a racially motivated election and presidency** – Americans did not know him, did not want to know him, and he played to that.

To get elected, he had to hide his "Founding Fathers." Frank Marshall Davis was his mentor. Bill Ayers – a member of the terrorist Weather Underground – (who bombed several government buildings with his mistress Bernadine Dorn) helped launch his political carrier after they served on advisory groups together in Chicago in the late 90's. His Columbia professor Edward Said – a leading critic of Israel's so called colonialism and a former representative to the PLO – was a supporter. Another mentor was his Harvard professor Roberto Unger, a Brazilian socialist and leading anti-colonial scholar who left to serve in the socialist Brazilian government but was too radical for them. He returned to Harvard and kept in close contact with Obama until the election, but declined all interviews noting that he was a Leftist and revolutionary who knew that news of his association could only do harm.

Dr. David Noebel exposed <u>elements in the Church Growth</u> Movement that also pushed the social justice mantra in support of Obama. Elements of this movement suggested that <u>"everything must change"</u> within the church.

Pastor Jeremiah Wright was his pastor for twenty years who was an advocate of the Marxist centered Black Liberation Theology that we spoke of earlier. During the election, this association threatened to expose Obama's worldview. An offer of \$150,000 of hush money to Wright failed, but Obama was able to avoid the issue by the old Communist trick of Bait and Switch. He changed the subject suggesting that this involved *"complicated race issues that America had not worked through yet."*

Finally, reflecting back on the 45 Goals, remember that Obama was first against same sex marriage before he was for it. He did everything humanly possible to advance the agenda of radical LGBT activists both in the military and the public schools.

From <u>Cloward–Piven</u> to ACORN – breaking Capitalism's Bank:

Two Saul Alinsky disciples - Richard Cloward and Francis Piven (a Marxist couple who were professors at Columbia University) wrote an article in The Nation entitled "The Weight of the Poor" in 1966. If there is any doubt in your mind about them embracing Marxist economics, listen to Milton Freedman and Thomas Sowell take Piven on. These two were both members of the *Democratic Socialists* of America. 13) This led to the Cloward-Piven Crisis Strategy that sought to hasten the fall of capitalism by overloading the government bureaucracy with a flood of impossible financial demands, thus pushing society into crisis and economic collapse. The goal was also to bring about a goal of the Democratic Party – a national guaranteed income pushing the Socialist agenda forward. Grass roots organizations were used to push for demands for services. The idea was to overload the national budget by getting everybody you could on welfare, food stamps, and other entitlement programs to bankrupt the country, break Capitalism and force radical change. 14) Cloward and Piven were students of Saul Alinsky and their disciples gave us ACORN - a community organizing group that Obama worked for in Chicago. One of the activities of ACORN was to file hundreds of lawsuits claiming racism was restricting loans. Obama successfully represented ACORN in suits to force banks to make risky loans to low-income minority customers. Do you remember the financial crisis and what caused it?

Fast-forward to his presidency and we saw him reverse the 1996 *Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act* (the welfare reform act) which imposed time limits on federal welfare, along with strict eligibility and work requirements. Obama erased the time limits and work requirements. This drastically increased welfare spending and we saw the food stamps roles soar. The national debt ballooned from five trillion to over nineteen trillion under Obama as he did all he could to break Capitalism.

Isaiah's "Woe" and Christian-conservative woes – where does the real guilt belong?

If we look again at what Isaiah said, his warning was "Woe to those who call evil good and good evil." This was a warning to Bible believers – it addresses our failures. How did we get to the place where we can no longer discern evil and "call it so?" **One of the elements is a conservative culture that worships positivity and fears confrontation.** These resist the reality that we can have hard

hitting debate without being hateful. We need to get serious about identifying evil and realize that failing to do so puts our values-based culture at risk. I contend that **we Christians are even more responsible** for the downward moral turn in America because **we have failed to be "salt" to our society**. Without a whimper, we have ignored issues like abortion, same-sex marriage, pornography, and Marxism. We have allowed Progressives to run over us and instigate policies that have enslaved African and Native American communities, and the poor. These policies stand as a threat to further enslave the children of all Americans.

Consumers, customers, or Christian – what has the church become?

An interesting <u>article in the Billings Gazette</u> caught my eye concerning Harvest Church in Billings. It told of Harvest bringing their Lockwood campus church into their main church because of slight decreases in attendance. What really struck me was the boldness of the Executive Pastor in his statement.

"In an age when the average person attends church 1.7 times a month, what we are seeing is a higher demand for <u>competing priorities</u> in families like recreation and sports."

It takes backbone to suggest that amusement and sports are taking the place of the church. No insinuations about Harvest are intended here - they are doing well, but this brings to light some sobering problems with the evangelical church in America.

In plain language, what has happened is that the church has shifted. The shift is from Pastors being shepherds who disciple, watch over, defend, lead, and exhort the sheep to a flock who now is largely a group of consumers. These consumers like nice messages – nothing negative, nothing that they disagree with, nothing that rocks their cultural-secularist worldviews, nothing that suggests they should alter their course. Bottom line, no transformation! If they sympathize with women caught in an unwanted pregnancy, you better not talk about abortion. If they have a relative who's Gay, you better not say much against homosexuality. If they have a nephew who got married to another man – you better not condemn same-sex marriage. After all, they are the customers and **any good salesman knows that the customer is always right.** So, when the Shepherds begins to talk

in ways that don't suit these consumers – seeing themselves as the customers – they will shop somewhere else.

This drift has taken a long time, but with the recent advent of *The Church Growth Movement* we began to see something called "the seeker friendly movement." I remember the night when we were in Ames, Iowa in a "new direction meeting" for the church. We were told that from now on, the focus was going to be on making new seekers comfortable. We stopped talking about nasty things like conviction, sin, repentance, and conversion – anything these customers would view as negative. Two problems occurred – we lied to them about what Christianity was and we drank the Kool-Aid ourselves and became customers. But what has this got to do with Marxism and the Democratic Party? It is relevant because we have created a monster that has not the background nor the inclination to call out evil.

Recently, I had a solid older believer question how we could address cultural issues - we might offend them they said. Any suggestion that there might be a party that is Marxist would send them "shopping." I get it, most in our culture know nothing about Marxism because we have never talked about free markets and the atheist roots of Marxism. When we talk about Marxism, they think we're slinging mud because they are clueless about this worldview. When someone says something about Islam – same thing. In fact, if you pay attention to surveys, many so-called evangelicals also embrace ideas from these other worldviews and vote Democrat. Yes, there are many disconnects in our churches.

We have created a monster that bears no resemblance to a Biblical church in function. This monster is even more evil than the Democratic Party. Why? Because the group that was designed to be the carrier of truth; the fountain of Light and Salt has been compromised.

It is little wonder that church attendance is only for those days when; soccer is not demanding its lordship, when little league is not telling your kids they better show up, or when the NFL starts at 10:00 AM. It is no mystery that we would chose entertainment over a church service that does not tickle our fancy

Ultimately, who will reap the harvest from our evil? Even more than the national debt, our kids will pay the price – and they already are.

Conclusions – Marxist orthodoxy and Democratic orthopraxy:

Identifying individuals or groups as Marxists is not hate, it is exposing their worldview which they are entitled to. I have made the case that if you compare the **orthodoxy** – the teaching and ideology – of the Democratic Party of today to the orthodoxy of Marxism, there is an ever increasing similarity. If you compare the **orthopraxy** – the practices, tactics, and actions – of the Democratic Party of today and Marxism, the similarities are too numerous to ignore. To identify the Democratic Party's worldview as anything other than Cultural Marxism is to ignore reality. This worldview and ideology has proven itself to be destructive everywhere it has been tried - it is fair and responsible to label it as evil. The Democratic Party has identified itself with a destructive, evil ideology and its practice of such tactics increases daily. My purpose is not to convert hardline Democrats – they have demonstrated that moral truth and ethics mean nothing to them. My goal is to encourage people who do embrace Judeo-Christian ethics to become more informed and realize that this party is a threat to Americans and their faith. If we fail to call this the evil that it is – we open the door to our children living under rule similar to Russia or Cuba. For the Christian, Isaiah and Paul both petition that we expose this evil with grace and compassion. This is nothing less than **Rebellion** which I Samuel 15:32 says is *"as the sin of witchcraft.*" and stubbornness is as iniquity and idolatry. Because thou hast rejected the word of the LORD, he hath also rejected thee." This is who and what Karl Marx was – he was a Christian as a young man who rebelled and turned to follow the Prince of Darkness.

End Notes:

1.) Regan's quote of C.S. Lewis' *Screwtape Letters.* "The greatest evil is not done now in those sordid dens of crime that Dickens love to paint. It is not even done in concentration camps and labor camps. In those, we see its final result. But it is conceived, ordered, moved, seconded and carried in clear carpeted warmed and well lighted offices by quiet men in white collars and cut fingernails and smooth shaven cheeks who do not need to raise their voice. But because these quiet men do not raise their voices, because they sometimes speak in soothing tones of brotherhood and peace, because - like other dictators before them - they're always making their *final* territorial demand, some would have us accept them at

their word and accommodate ourselves to their aggressive impulses. But if history teaches anything, it teaches that simple minded appeasement or wishful thinking about our adversaries is folly. It means the betrayal of our past, the squandering of our freedom. So I urge you to speak out against those who would put the United States in a position of militarily or moral inferiority."

2.) The word woe defined Biblically <u>Woe From Patheos</u> The word "woe" is often used to express grief, regret, misfortune or grievous distress stated from such a great affliction of some sort or being in such trouble that an **escape out of it seems impossible**. Sometimes, a woe is almost beyond description and words fail us so a "woe" may be the only thing we can say to express our feelings, very much like when we groan. The biblical definition is even more "woeful" than I have described here as we shall read.

3.) The Naked Truth Revisited, Dr. James Bowers, p. 1X.

4.) Agenda: Grinding America Down, documentary film by Curtis Bowers.

5.) You Can Still Trust Communists to be Communists. Dr. David Noebel & Dr. Fred Schwarz, p. 117

6.) Agenda: Grinding America Down, documentary film by Curtis Bowers.

7.) The ACLU vs. America, Alan Sears (former CEO of Alliance Defending Freedom) and Craig Osten, p. 7-87.)

8.) Noebel and Schwarz. Op.cit, p. 230

9.) Is there a Great Awakening: Q&A with Jim Wallis, Interview on line. Note this segment: Q: You've talked for several years about the religious right losing its monopoly on the agenda. But the religious left, for lack of a better term — or religious progressives — don't seem to be as organized and galvanized. Is this more about what you want to happen rather than what's actually happening?
A: I think we're on the edge of a great awakening. I've wanted it for a long time. But now I see it happening all over the country. I can talk about huge crowds on the road. Mega churches are supporting it. Willow Creek — the archetypal evangelical mega church — and (senior pastor) Bill Hybels says "we evangelicals are one stir away from a real awakening."

10.) Noebel and Schwarz. Op.cit, .p.228

11.) 45 Goals of the Communist Party: Key Goals

- 15. Capture one or both of the political parties in the United States.
- 16. Use technical decisions of the courts to weaken basic American institutions by claiming their activities violate civil rights. [Progressive Judges have given us Roe vs. Wade, removed prayer and Bible reading from our schools, made same-sex marriage the law of the land, favored LGBT rights over all others, and much more.]

17. Get control of the schools. Use them as transmission belts for socialism and current Communist propaganda. Soften the curriculum. Get control of teachers' associations. Put the party line in textbooks. [The Democratic Party owns the schools. The NEA is little more than a handmaiden of the DNC. Textbook publishers are infecting our kids with every kind of propaganda and revisionism]

19. Use student riots to foment public protests against programs or organizations which are under Communist attack. [Recent demonstrations by students over gun control, campuses shutting down speakers, etc.]20. Infiltrate the press. Get control of book-review assignments, editorial writing, policy-making positions. [There is almost no room in the liberal press for non-socialist ideas.]

21. Gain control of key positions in radio, TV, and motion pictures. [It is self-evident that our main line media favor Socialism.]

22. Continue discrediting American culture by degrading all forms of artistic expression. An American Communist cell was told to "eliminate all good sculpture from parks and buildings, substitute shapeless, awkward and meaningless forms."

24. Eliminate all laws governing obscenity by calling them "censorship" and a violation of free speech and free press. [Supreme Court decisions on abortion and same-sex marriage are considered a joke by conservative scholars.]

25. Break down cultural standards of morality by promoting pornography and obscenity in books, magazines, motion pictures, radio, and TV.
26. Present homosexuality, degeneracy and promiscuity as "normal, natural, healthy." [The Democrats promote this at every turn in legislation, propaganda, and the courts.]

27. Infiltrate the churches and replace revealed religion with "social" religion. Discredit the Bible and emphasize the need for intellectual maturity which does not need a "religious crutch." [See comments on Liberation Theology.]

28. Eliminate prayer or any phase of religious expression in the schools on the ground that it violates the principle of "separation of church and state."
29. Discredit the American Constitution by calling it inadequate, old-fashioned, out of step with modern needs, a hindrance to cooperation between nations on a worldwide basis.

30. Discredit the American Founding Fathers. Present them as selfish aristocrats who had no concern for the "common man." [The LEFT's destruction of statues of founders and prominent persons is part of this process.]

31. Belittle all forms of American culture and discourage the teaching of American history on the ground that it was only a minor part of the "big picture." Give more emphasis to Russian history since the Communists took over.

32. Support any socialist movement to give centralized control over any part of the culture–education, social agencies, welfare programs, mental health clinics, etc.

33. Eliminate all laws or procedures which interfere with the operation of the Communist apparatus.

34. Eliminate the House Committee on Un-American Activities.

35. Discredit and eventually dismantle the FBI.

36. Infiltrate and gain control of more unions.

37. Infiltrate and gain control of big business.

38. Transfer some of the powers of arrest from the police to social agencies. Treat all behavioral problems as psychiatric disorders which no one but psychiatrists can understand [or treat].

39. Dominate the psychiatric profession and use mental health laws as a means of gaining coercive control over those who oppose Communist goals.

40. Discredit the family as an institution. Encourage promiscuity and easy divorce.

41. Emphasize the need to raise children away from the negative influence

of parents. Attribute prejudices, mental blocks and retarding of children to suppressive influence of parents.

42. Create the impression that violence and insurrection are legitimate aspects of the American tradition; that students and special-interest groups should rise up and use ["]united force["] to solve economic, political or social problems.

12) *Obama's America: Love Him, Hate Him, You Don't* know Him, Dinesh D'Souza, documentary film.

13) Noebel and Schwarz. Op.cit, p. 7-8

14) Trevor Loudon in *Agenda: Grinding America Down,* documentary film by Curtis Bowers.

Recommended Reading and Resources:

Books:

Belli, Huberto and Ronald H. Nash. *Beyond Liberation Theology*. Baker Book Houe1992

Chandler, Robert Shadow World – Resurgent Russia, The Global New Left, and Radical Islam. Regency. 2008

Courtois, Stephane, ed. *The Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 1999

Dalrymple, Theodore. *Life at the Bottom – the Worldview that Makes the Underclass*. Ivan R. Dee. 2001

Horowitz, David. *The Professors: The 101 Most Dangerous Academics in America*. Washington DC: Regency

Klein, Aaron and Brenda J. Elliott. *The Manchurian President: Barack Obama's Ties to Communists, Socialists, and Other Anti-American Extremists.* Washington DC, WND Books, 2010

Kurtz, Stanley. *Radical-In-Chief: Barack Obama and the Untold Story of American Socialism*. Threshold Editions, Simon & Shuster Inc. 2010

Loudon, Trevor Barack Obama and the Enemies Within. First Freedom Foundation. 2011

Noebel, David A. and Myers, Jeff. Understanding the Times. 2016

Perloff, James *The Shadows of Power – The Council on Foreign Relations and the American Decline* [And the UN and Federal Reserve] Western Islands Publishers. 1988

Richards, Dr. Jay w. *Money, Greed, and God – Why Capitalism is the Solution and not the Problem.* Harper Collins 2009

Reese, Dave. 7 Men Who Rule the World from the Grave. Moody Press, 1990

Schwarz, Fred C. and Noebel, David A. You Can Still Trust the Communists to be Communists. Christian Anti-Communist Crusade 2010

Sears, Alan and Craig Osten. *The ACLU vs. America: Exposing the Agenda to Redefine Moral Values*. B & H Publishing Group. 2005

Skousen, W. Cleon. The Naked Communist, 1958

- Veritas Foundation 1962. Keynes at Harvard: Economic Deception as a Political Credo. Revised ed. West Sayville, NY [Available on line]

DVD's

Bowers, Curtis. *Agenda, Grinding America Down* [Documentary on Marxism in America] 2010

Bowers, Curtis. Agenda 2: Masters of Deceit. 2014

D'Souza, Dinesh. *Obama's America: Love Him, Hate Him, You Don't Know Him*. [Documentary on Obama] 2012

D'Souza, Dinesh. *Hillary's America: The Secret History of the Democratic Party*. [Documentary exposing the truth about the Democrats and their racist history.] 2016