

Progressive-Socialist-Abortinists Target Montana

NIRH's Agenda to Turn Billings Blue

(Note: References are made to the attached documents as outlined in the appendix.)

Executive Summary

The NYC based [National Institute for Reproductive Health](#) (NIRH) is creating a Reproductive Health Index for Billings that will affect all of Montana in time. This is a large, well-funded organization – only 2 of their 38 administrators and board are men. Billings does not fit with the other cities they have targeted making it obvious that local Progressives have promoted this agenda. You will see that in the preliminary index, Billings failed badly – mostly NO's. The index demonstrates their multipronged agenda. While increasing access to abortion is their crown jewel, their Progressive motive includes Socialist interests and they are openly working with the Democratic Party to turn cities BLUE. They do this by pressuring local and state leaders to adopt their schemes. While conservatives are regularly identified as extremist or radical for their views on marriage, abortion, LGBT issues etc., it is in fact groups like **NIRH that are out of step with mainstream Montana.**

Extreme Feminism is very much in play as you will see from the advocate working on Billings, [Jenny Dobson Mistry](#). While their literature does not mention the goals of feminism, we will demonstrate that their objectives are intertwined. These goals always align with Cultural Marxism and – as you will see – NIRH's goals do also. In all of their literature, there is never mention of men being part of the family equation. While purporting to advance the wellbeing of minorities, they are in league with Democratic philosophies that have only harmed these groups with welfare programs. Their obvious lack of interest in marriage and traditional family health will translate into more need for the entrapment of generational welfare. NIRH needs to be asked **“if you're really interested in seeing minorities and low income people flourish, why are you so ambivalent about marriage?”**

A Bird's Eye look at their stated goals:

- Advancement of **abortion (even to the point of infanticide)** and promotion of complementary legislation.
- Promotion of Progressive **Socialist values** including government instituted Paid Family Leave, \$15 minimum wage, health care for illegal aliens, voting rights for illegal aliens, decreasing the voting age to 18, promoting Social Justice, and resistance to relative Trump administration policies.
- Promotion of **SOGI laws (Non Discrimination Ordinances)** giving “Special Rights” to LGBT individuals equivalent to race, gender, religion etc. These attempts have been rejected by our Legislature for over 20 years and only five cities in Montana have adopted NDO’s.
- Advocating for **Climate Change** even including elimination of fracking.
- **Comprehensive Sex Education in schools** (most likely by Progressive groups like Planned Parenthood.)
- State and local government **financing of abortion** and **abortion insurance**.
- Rigid **regulation of crisis pregnancy centers** like LaVie in Billings.

We hope that this summary will spark interest and lead you to read our entire report. The bottom line question is, **does NIRH represent your values and your agenda** for Billings and Montana? **Is this a group you want evaluating Billings?** If not, we ask that you contact Jenny Mistry, who is the lead on this project, at 646-520-3514 jmistry@nirhealth.org and **graciously** tell her what you think of the agenda NIRH has for Montana. Local leaders have **until May 24th** to give NIRH feedback.

Introducing You to NIRH:

Recently NYC based [National Institute for Reproductive Health](#) sent a letter (and associated attachments) to Yellowstone County and Billings officials - Commissioners, City Council, and Mayor. They outlined their intentions to create a *Local Reproductive Health Index* on Billings for 2019. **This is not just a Billings issue, this is a move against Montana.** This index has been done on 50 cities. They have done a preliminary survey on Billings (see D5 below and corresponding attachment) that is not included in their final report (D4). They say they get their analysis information from *city and court websites etc. and local advocates* (See D 4.p 27). They do not disclose specifics about their sources – names, institutions,

etc. The only specific name mentioned in their letter (D1) is Billings **Councilperson Penny Ronning**.

In D5 you see that Billings received a failing grade (NO) in their preliminary report, on 29 of the 31 areas evaluated. If you consider the 50 cities Billings is grouped with, most are larger and trend liberal. This begs the question, **why is Billings being targeted?** D5, page 27 says that cities were chosen primarily on the basis of population. I could find no justification for Billings being selected. If you look at the 37 criteria of evaluation, you have to ask why a moderate to conservative city would assist them in promoting their extreme agendas. **One can only speculate that Billings' evaluation was heavily influenced by local advocates anxious to see it align with more liberal cities in Montana.** These individuals certainly have the right to their beliefs. The question must be, **what is the will of the silent majority of working people?** Billings is not mentioned in their D4 report, it would appear to be a recent selection. **Why?**

Who is NIRH & Who Are We?

Who is NIRH?

NIRH's "umbrella agenda" is increasing access to abortions, but they are much more than that. Abortion advocates tend to work with other left leaning causes. **While abortion is the Crown Jewel** of NIRH's interests, it is somewhat of a cover-up for their many other activities.

They are: (More details below)

- Working to turn cities BLUE (Progressive)
- Advocates for extreme social justice
- Aggressively against many related Trump administration policies
- Champions of many Socialist causes
- Aggressive about LGBT agendas
- Promoting climate change initiatives
- Defenders of illegal immigration

As you read their documents, you see that they are – in fact - an **extreme Progressive group who is openly working to turn cities like Billings Blue.** (See for example D4 p. 6) They are pressuring local and state agencies to adopt their goals

of: maximized abortions, creating “special class” designations for LGBT groups (D4, p. 11, 15, 23), numerous socialist causes, climate change, citizens’ rights for illegal aliens, a hostility toward Trump administration policies (D4, p. 3, 10, 12) and much more. They detest Crisis Pregnancy Centers (CPC’s) and *would prefer that cities not allow them* (D4, p. 11 and 22).

NIRH carries a Feminist agenda. Women on their board and staff outnumber men 36 to 2. ([See their web page.](#)) Feminists have always been unfriendly towards traditional marriage, hostile toward women who chose the homemaker role, and historically very unfriendly toward minority groups – as I will document. If you saw the movie *Unplanned*, you saw the preference from the Planned Parenthood Director that their workers *not be married and not have children*. Even the casual student of extreme feminism soon encounters its *consistent connection to a Cultural Marxist flavor* – as I will document.

While conservatives are regularly identified as extremist or radical for their view on marriage, abortion, LGBT issues etc., it is in fact groups like NIRH that are out of step with main-stream Montana. I was unable to spot one incident of the word **man or husband** in their documents. If there was any suggestion that having a **father in the home was helpful** – even economically – I missed it!

They suggest that one should only chose to “have the baby” if they can support it. This is their goal:

***Reproductive autonomy** is understood to be integrally connected to **economic justice**, including ensuring **that those who choose to continue a pregnancy and raise children can support their family**. Thus, policies related to economic security are a priority. (D4, p.24)*

If you read literature that exposes feminism, the *tone* of the above statement is common – they are not fans of babies. The Heritage Foundations (and others) have a *Success Sequence for avoiding poverty*, it goes something like this.

Get an education, get a job, get married, then have children.

Feminists are also not fans of the “get married” part of the equation. As we will document later, theirs is a formula for poverty and it’s why they have to advocate for welfare programs.

At the web site [Commentary](#) we find an article exposing the New York Times. In the article [Man-Hatred Goes Mainstream](#) their new (2018) editorial writer is exposed in her hatred for men. The article makes it plain that she is not the exception and this attitude is being encouraged in teen women. This week at San Diego State University, a freshman took on the issue of [“toxic masculinity” challenging the feminist narrative](#) that men are inherently bad. The article states that the **American Physiological Society has called traditional masculinity “harmful.”** Why did brave Jeremelle MacLeod write the article? He said *“it was a buildup of things,”* he told The Fix. *“It was a mixture of the leftist pressure on campus,”* he said. *“It was a mixture of the fact that the APA is pretty much saying that anything that is not feminine is toxic.”*

In the highlighted sections of the attached documents, **they make their Blue agenda clear.** If they can turn the city BLUE - they reason - the surrounding areas will follow. They do this by pressuring local and state government to adopt their interests. **Notice that these documents are marked CONFIDENTIAL.** So why would this agenda be secret? City-County administrators have been asked for their comments, **but they only have until May 24, 2019.**

Who Are We?

NIRH and its allies consider traditional marriage and resistance to abortion *extreme.* Montanans are not radically pro-abortion. Montanans recently voted overwhelmingly **for traditional marriage.** Our **legislature’s majority is still pro-life.** Five Supreme Court Judges (and one Judge in Montana) declared same-sex marriage the law of the land. While we are obligated to obey the decision of extremist judges, Legislators make laws. Judges are called to evaluate their constitutionality. Is same-sex marriage the law of the land and the will of the people? Or, is it the will of the courts and a small minority of the people.

Relative to LGBT “special class” SOGI and NDO legislation at the state level, the **Montana Legislature has rejected these in various forms for over 20 years.** Further, it has been 10 years since a SOGI law has passed in any state (at the state level) except for a watered-down version in Utah. Only in the **liberal cities of Missoula, Bozeman, Butte, Whitefish, and Helena** (all Democratic strongholds) have NDO’s passed. Billings, Great Falls, Dillon, Miles City, Glendive, Glasgow, Red Lodge, Hamilton, Kalispell, and other Montana cities have not. Missoula’s

ordinance was obviously a solution in search of a problem. It was passed almost a decade ago. In that time, Montana's **Human Rights Commission has not documented a single violation against the LGBT community.** The preponderance of evidence indicates that the vast majority of people in Billings (and Montana) are a gracious, tolerant group of people. We do **not define TOLERANCE as CONFORMITY.**

Finally, when the Montana High School Association put on their state meeting agenda (a few years ago) a proposal to **allow boys and girls to compete against each other**, stay in the same motel rooms, etc. – the public outcry was so loud that they took it off of their agenda before the meeting.

Examining the NIRH's Agenda – from their own documents:

Let's look at the criteria NIRH is using to evaluate cities and let those definitions **evaluate their worldview.** We will here reference their (D3) **Local Reproductive Freedom Index: A Guide for Reviewers.** To preserve some brevity, I will allow you to read their full context of these points on your own. We will examine just a few of the 37 criteria that I think are particularly questionable from a Christian and Free Market perspective. Their full context is not included, **our comments are in red:**

- **Paid sick leave:** for all employees; if the policy is only available to a limited population, the city receives a "Limited." **The concept of paid leave as a government regulation is socialistic in nature.**
- **\$15 minimum wage:** **Any conservative economist will tell you that these ideas reduce entry level job numbers. More socialism!**
- **Support for immigrants to access reproductive health care:** city supports access to reproductive health care specifically for immigrants, including those who are undocumented. **This amounts to aid for illegals.**
- **Advancing democracy:** [Interesting choice of words]city has taken steps to protect voting rights or advance democratic values, including but not limited to:
 - Voting rights for non-citizens
 - Voting age under 18
 - **These are right out of the Progressive Playbook for 2020.**

- **Anti-discrimination ordinances for housing: Gender identity:** This is effectively an NDO providing LGBT “Special Class” designation.
- **Funding for abortion:** Municipal funding is spent directly on abortion care. This is self-explanatory – your tax dollars for abortion.
- **Municipal insurance coverage of abortion:** ...explicit municipal policy requiring insurance coverage of abortion for all municipal employees... Your tax dollars at work for abortion insurance.
- **Environmental protections for maternal & reproductive health:** ...related to environmental justice...including but not limited to:
 - Banning fracking
 - Regulation of radioactive activity
 - Water justice
 - Help me understand how fracking relates to reproductive health?
- **Funding for comprehensive sexuality education (CSE):** And who do you suppose would do this? Planned Parenthood is operating in most Montana public schools.
- **Opposition to deceptive practices of anti-abortion pregnancy centers [CPC’s]:** NIRH detests crisis pregnancy centers like LaVie in Billings almost as much as they detest the Catholic Church. See also [The Truth about Crisis Pregnancy Centers](#) In this article, NARAL – a sister organization - seeks to point out the dangers of crisis pregnancy centers

From these few examples, you get the flavor of how NIRH wants to evaluate Montana and its largest city. **Remember, if you’re not in Billings, your city may be next.**

Looking Inside of NIRH:

NIRH is a [large, well-funded](#) organization with a big staff. They work with many other groups – not just on abortion. Spend a minute looking at the [Take Root Conference](#) where Jenny Dodson Mistry spoke in 2016. This will give you a flavor for what these groups’ agendas are. Or spend a few minutes on the [Rewire.News](#) web page and see this group’s involvement in legislation and much more than abortion. As the *Take Roots* picture suggests, these organizations have long tentacles and are joined at the hip with NIRH.

While you're at it, take a look at [who Jenny Dodson Mistry](#) is. She is the **Manager of Special Initiatives for NIRH who wrote the letter to Billings**. Jenny is an extreme feminist. She says in her bio "*she came from a background of many strong women and not many men... and has always been in the feminist frame of mind.*" In college, she was involved in a "women's studies curriculum." Anyone familiar with these courses of study knows they are dominated by radical feminism. She has been involved with Planned Parenthood and other abortion advocates all of her life. Note that she says "*I went to Planned Parenthood in high school, where I got [Plan B](#) for myself or for friends, and this was before it was over the counter.*" Interesting that she appears to be bragging about getting the "morning after" pill for herself and her friends. Think about the ethical implications! If she is married or has children, I could not discover it.

Understanding the Feminist Roots:

Writing about feminist history to the general public is challenging because of how entangled feminism is with Marxist-Socialism. To the average person, this sounds like propaganda. In reality, much of the well written literature on feminism documents this consistently.

Almost all of NIRH's staff and directors are women. To those informed about extreme feminism, you sense this agenda in their documents. Feminism is not some isolated incidental – it defines much of who NIRH is. To fully comprehend their agenda, a grasp of the history of the feminist movement is helpful. You need to understand their roots. **We will focus upon their anti-family and racist backgrounds.** Full discussion of these issues is beyond the scope of this article, I hope to reveal enough to encourage your further study of these issues.

Feminism has been the enemy of traditional family, marriage, *and* minorities for over a century. [Jonah Goldberg](#), writing in the *National Review* reminds us of a bit of that history. Margret Sanger (founder of Planned Parenthood) and later Betty Friedan - argued that ***motherhood itself was a socially imposed constraint on the liberty of women.*** This is what we now call a "social construct" – something that society dictated or constructed. In other words, motherhood is not natural. It was a form of what Marxists, writes Goldberg, called a *false consciousness* to want a large family. **Here, feminists attack the foundation of God's creation – the family.** The traditional mother and father family has long been the central

fabric of all cultures. Feminists have successfully attacked the role of mothers. **This sick ideology is tantamount to a declaration of war on the family.**

In 1926 **Sanger attacked Blacks**, proudly giving a speech to a Klu Klux Klan rally in Silver Lake, New Jersey. She boldly came out for the “elimination of human weeds” that were not compatible with a perfected race. Jonah Goldberg continues:

*In 1939 Sanger created the... “**Negro Project**,” which aimed to get blacks to adopt birth control. Through the Birth Control Federation, she hired black ministers... doctors, and other leaders to **help pare down the supposedly surplus black population**. The project’s racist intent is beyond doubt. “The mass of significant Negroes,” read the project’s report, “still breed carelessly and disastrously, with the result that the increase among **Negroes...is [in] that portion of the population least intelligent and fit**.” Sanger’s intent is shocking today, but she recognized its extreme radicalism even then. “**We do not want word to go out**,” she wrote to a colleague, “that we want to exterminate the Negro population, and the minister is the man who can straighten out that idea if it ever occurs to any of their more rebellious members.”*

In Citizen Magazine’s article *The Truth About MARGARET SANGER* [we read](#)

*At a March 1925 international birth control gathering in New York City, a speaker warned of the **menace posed by the "black" and "yellow" peril**. The man was not a Nazi or Klansman; he was Dr. S. Adolphus Knopf, a member of **Margaret Sanger's American Birth Control League (ABCL)**, which along with other groups eventually **became known as Planned Parenthood**.*

The reality is that **feminist leaders were not kind to minorities they now claim to support**. In fact, to this day, Planned Parenthood’s clinics are disproportionately high in number in Black districts. Bill Kristol calls feminism “**the most powerful movement of our time**” and he did not mean that in a flattering way.

President Johnson (LBJ) famously said with the initiation of his Great Society that with this welfare move “*we shall have the Negro vote for 200 years.*” So far he has been correct. This was a bold move to take the **African American from the cotton Plantation to the Projects of the inner city**. (See this and much more as

Black Historian and Philosophy professor Dr. Carol Swain documents “*The Secret History of the Democratic Party*” in Dinesh D’Souza’s *Hillary’s America* documentary. Carol spoke in Billings in March of 2017 repeating this history lesson.)

We could go on for pages, books actually exposing the dark history of Feminism and its racist history. You can find more at <https://www.discoverthenetworks.org/search/> just as one source.

In the early part of the 20th Century, as we have seen, Margret Sanger pioneered modern eugenics and her work was picked up and used by Hitler in his eugenics efforts. Sanger’s ideology was radically racist. Much of Hitler’s thinking was patterned after Sanger’s ideology – the creation of a society free of “human weeds.”

It is not irrelevant to mention that [Hillary Clinton was a great admirer](#) of Sanger! Again, we see Progressives marching together as feminism dominates the Democratic Party. While claiming to be champions for minorities, their policies have destroyed those they claim to advocate for. The [Heritage Foundation](#) has documented this in spades in this article on the failure of the War of Poverty. Progressive agenda’s since LBJ’s War on Poverty have destroyed minority communities economically and socially. Read NIRH’s documents – cover to cover – see if you find them advocating **for Black men to marry**. See if you hear them point Blacks towards information like the Heritage Foundation article [Marriage, Americas Greatest Weapon against Poverty](#). Read carefully, see if they suggest Glenn Stanton from Focus on the Family’s article [The Research Proves the Number 1 Social Justice Imperative is Marriage](#). No, you won’t find NIRH - advocates for Social Justice, racial equality, and helping low income folks - go anywhere near these solutions even though EVERYBODY knows, they are the first solutions.

Yes, NIRH needs to be asked “if you’re really interested in seeing minorities and low income people flourishing, why are you so ambivalent about marriage?” Tons of data – from both sides of the aisle – make it clear that [children do better in families](#) with a father and mother. The evidence is overwhelming – the welfare programs that Progressives have pushed since LBJ have not helped they have enslaved these people in generational welfare

Is there a Common Cause between Feminism and Marxism?

If you read [The 45 Goals of the Communist Party](#), you readily see that Marxism wanted to destroy the intact family, promote homosexuality as normal, take children out of the home as soon as possible, destroy our moral base etc. Pay attention to items 16, 17, 24, 26, 27, 32, 41, and 42. Feminist history is amazingly complicit with these goals. The question for us at this point is, where is NIRH on these issues and how would we know? Do we really want to get in bed with these “fellows?”

You can't do any kind of honest [literature review](#) without seeing how the feminist movement [has long been](#) joined to and [tied to Marxism](#). **Both groups target the traditional family for extinction.** And, while NIRH talks about helping families, they are **NOT talking about the traditional family.**

In [the above linked article](#) from the National Review, Kevin Williamson writes the following about Feminism.

...feminists [activists] themselves... cleave to a very different notion of feminism, one that is ideologically rigid and not synonymous with “liberal” but with “left-wing,” being, as it is, a creed that is either plainly or implicitly Marxian? ...That feminism is anti-capitalist, anti-Christian, and opposed to constitutional republican government as practiced in the United States—and opposed, more generally, to the entire Anglo-American model of government and social relations. Feminists of that stripe are not very much interested in making common cause with conservatives.

He also says this:

*...The feminism one encounters in an academic setting is different... **The crudest of its texts read like somebody did a search-and-replace on the Marxist canon, replacing “proletarian” with “women.”...***

Why the concern about extreme feminism? Because NIRH and their affiliates are dominated by feminist thinking. It seems obvious that it is Progressive - **anti-capitalist, anti-Christian, and opposed to constitutional republican government as practiced in the United States**

While groups like NIRH hate the above mentioned comparisons (and history) they stand exposed by the similarities of their agendas – although now softened to seduce a culture ignorant of their founder’s histories. Some may have good intentions and lack the dark purpose of those described above, but their lack of understanding of long term consequences does not excuse them.

Ask yourself is this the group I want evaluating Billings? And isn’t it more than a little arrogant to assume THEY (or their liberal surrogates) are the ones to give us an “F” on our performance? Dare I suggest that the real agenda of their *Local Index* and other work is **much more nefarious than what is being suggested** on the surface? I am hoping that thousands of Montanans will contact Jenny Dodson Mistry, Senior Manager of Special Initiatives, National Institute for Reproductive Health, National Institute for Reproductive Health Action Fund 14 Wall Street, Suite 3B New York, New York 10005 phone 646-520-3514 jmistry@nirhealth.org and **graciously** tell her what you think of the agenda of NIRH in Montana.

From these few examples, you get the flavor of how NIRH wants to evaluate Montana and its largest city. **Remember, if you’re not in Billings, your city will be next.**

Summary & Conclusions:

The National Institute for Reproductive Health (NIRH) has every appearance of being an extreme Progressive group. While their Crown Jewel is the promotion of abortion, they also advocate for other Marxist-Socialist agendas. They have the appearance of being extremely feminist in nature promoting issues that are not friendly to the traditional family. While they intend to help minorities and the economically disadvantaged, their means of engaging these issues is in lock-step with Democratic practices that have – in fact – harmed these groups.

It is our conclusion that those investigating this group think long and hard about supporting their goals. We also recommend that you write to **Jenny Dodson Mistry**, as mentioned above.

Appendix:

- 1. Attached Documents** – Labeled as Doc. 1-6 (D1 etc.) in above report. Some of these documents are highlighted a bit for your convenience.

- D 1 - Letter to Billings from Jennifer
 - D 2 - Local Index Indicators and Cities
 - D 3 - NIRH LRFI Guide for Reviewers
 - D 4 - NIRH LKFI Final Report – this document details the activities and goals
 - D 5 - Billings Yellowstone County NIRH Report – (AN XL File)
2. These will also be available on a web site we can use at <http://www.bigskyworldview.org/> then go to Resources, Library and find the articles under National Institute of Reproductive Health.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dick Pence – Coordinator

Big Sky Worldview Forum, Billings Montana at rapence45@gmail.com